UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/588,428	08/04/2006	Hiroshi Nagai	SHOBA6.001APC	9228	
KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP 2040 MAIN STREET			EXAMINER		
			PERREIRA, MELISSA JEAN		
FOURTEENTH FLOOR IRVINE, CA 92614			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1618		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/27/2012	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com efiling@kmob.com eOAPilot@kmob.com

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/588,428	Art Unit 1618 dentification or clarification of a	
Examiner-initiated interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MELISSA PERREIRA	1618	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) <u>MELISSA PERREIRA</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Daniel Altman</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 17 January 2012.			
Type:	applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:	□ No.		
Issues Discussed 101 112 102 103 Other (For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detail			
Claim(s) discussed:			
Identification of prior art discussed:			
Substance of Interview (For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments.)	- · ·	dentification or clarific	cation of a
see below.			
Applicant recordation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to p	rovide a separate record of the substa	ance of interview.	
Examiner recordation instructions : Examiners must summarize the subthe substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713 general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to very support of the interview.	.04 for complete and proper recordation fany other pertinent matters discusse	on including the iden d regarding patental	tification of the bility and the
☐ Attachment			
/Melissa Perreira/ Examiner, Art Unit 1618			

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Application No. 10588428

The examiner initiated a telephonic interview to obtain clarification of the terminology used in the declaration filed 1/14/11.

The examiner questioned the language used to describe the test beverage 1 and test beverage 2 found on page 3 of the declaration.

The applicant's representative explained that the language found in test beverage 1 and test beverage 2 was describing steeping the tea leaves so the level of tannin caffeine was the same for both beverages to provide an equivalent strength of tea and that the water to tea ratio to steep the tea is 30 to 1. This provides for a standardized technique to ensure equivalent strength.